Appendix D
Comparison Between Genders

Table D1. The different methods of analysis showing the most and least relevant groups of reasons and individual reasons (categories 1 and 2) and the most and least relevant specific feelings (category 3). The table includes the results of the full dataset (Twitter polls + those who submitted only a survey form) and the results of the three gender groups: trans men & transmascs, cis women and non-binary participants.

Group of reasons (categories 1 and 2)	full dataset (n=361–411)				trans men & transmascs (n=51)				cis women (n=93)				non-binary participants (n=106)			
Method of analysis	Most relevant	Score	Least relevant	Score	Most relevant	Score	Least relevant	Score	Most relevant	Score	Least relevant	Score	Most relevant	Score	Least relevant	Score
Share of "extremely relevant" answers	2.4 Relationships	69.6%	2.2 Personality	48.0%	2.4 Relationships	73.1%	2.2 Personality	50.5%	2.4 Relationships	64.5%	2.6 Sex & Sexuality	41.7%	2.4 Relationships	67.0%	2.3 Social Interactions	48.3%
Share of "not relevant" answers	2.4 Relationships	2.6%	2.6 Sex & Sexuality	14.9%	2.4 Relationships	1.6%	2.6 Sex & Sexuality	12.4%	1 Writing and Acting 2.4 Relationships	2.9%	2.6 Sex & Sexuality	17.2%	2.4 Relationships	2.0%	2.6 Sex & Sexuality	13.5%
Median Relevancy Score	2.4 Relationships	82.0	2.2 Personality	64.0	2.4 Relationships	84.2	2.1 Physical Appearance	69.6	2.4 Relationships	79.0	2.6 Sex & Sexuality	56.3	1 Writing and Acting	80.7	2.2 Personality	65.8
Median Net Relevancy Score	2.4 Relationships	79.7	2.6 Sex & Sexuality	54.0	2.4 Relationships	81.9	2.1 Physical Appearance	63.8	2.4 Relationships	77.4	2.6 Sex & Sexuality	38.6	• 1 Writing and Acting • 2.4 Relationships	78.8	2.3 Social Interactions	56.4
Median Ratio Score of Extreme Relevancy	2.4 Relationships	2.5	2.2 Personality	1.1	2.4 Relationships	2.9	2.2 Personality	1.1	2.4 Relationships	1.9	2.2 Personality	0.9	2.6 Sex & Sexuality	2.3	2.2 Personality	1.0
Group Net Score of High RS	2.4 Relationships	50%	• 2.2 Personality • 2.3 Social Interactions	-33%	2.4 Relationships	83%	• 2.1 Physical Appearance • 2.2 Personality • 2.6 Sex & Sexuality	-33%	2.4 Relationships	50%	2.6 Sex & Sexuality	-66%	2.4 Relationships	50%	2.3 Social Interactions	-50%
Group Net Score of High Ratio ER	2.4 Relationships	66%	• 2.2 Personality • 2.3 Social Interactions	-33%	2.4 Relationships	83%	2.2 Personality	-50%	2.4 Relationships	33%	2.2 Personality	-33%	2.4 Relationships	50%	2.2 Personality	-50%
Individual reasons (categories 1 and 2)	**full dataset (n=361–411)**				**trans men & transmascs (n=51)**				**cis women (n=93)**				**non-binary participants (n=106)**			
Method of analysis	Most relevant	Score	Least relevant	Score	Most relevant	Score	Least relevant	Score	Most relevant	Score	Least relevant	Score	Most relevant	Score	Least relevant	Score
Share of "extremely relevant" answers	1.1 Con's performance	85.1%	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	21.9%	1.1 Con's performance	85.7%	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	14.3%	1.1 Con's performance	87.1%	2.1.6 trans man	13.0%	1.1 Con's performance	83.0%	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	20.8%
Share of "not relevant" answers	1.3 brings tension	0.5%	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	31.6%	• 1.1 Con's performance • 2.4.1 codependency • 1.5 fleshed-out character • 1.6 character arc with potential • 2.4.4 jilted spouse • 1.10 intriguing interactions • 2.1.4 voice • 2.3.2 always failing • 1.3 brings tension • 2.1.3 non-verbal • 1.2 well written • 2.3.3 justifiably grumpy • 2.2.2 misfit • 2.2.4 hates change • 2.3.5 resenting stede	0%	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	34.3%	• 2.1.3 non-verbal • 1.2 well written	0%	2.1.6 trans man	45.7%	• 1.1 Con's performance • 1.5 fleshed-out character • 2.4.2 loyalty	0%	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	32.1%
Relevancy Score	1.1 Con's performance	91.7	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	38.6	1.1 Con's performance	92.6	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	34.3	1.1 Con's performance	92.5	2.1.6 trans man	25.5	1.1 Con's performance	91.0	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	38.2
Net Relevancy Score	1.1 Con's performance	91.2	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	7.0	1.1 Con's performance	92.6	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	-1.0	1.1 Con's performance	91.4	2.1.6 trans man	-20.1	1.1 Con's performance	91.0	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	6.1
Ratio Score of Extreme Relevancy	1.1 Con's performance	5.9	2.3.1 lack of authority	0.4	1.1 Con's performance	6.3	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	0.3	1.1 Con's performance	7.4	2.1.2 body type	0.3	1.1 Con's performance	4.9	2.6.2 internalised homophobia	0.4
Specific feelings (category 3)	**full dataset (n=361–411)**				**trans men & transmascs (n=51)**				**cis women (n=93)**				**non-binary participants (n=106)**			
Method of analysis	Most relevant	Score	Least relevant	Score	Most relevant	Score	Least relevant	Score	Most relevant	Score	Least relevant	Score	Most relevant	Score	Least relevant	Score
Share of Agreeing Answers	3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	91.8%	3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	41.5%	3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	96.0%	3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	51.0%	3.3 makes me curious	93.5%	3.6 steal his gender	24.7%	3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	92.5%	3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	51.9%
Share of Disagreeing Answers	3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	2.6%	3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	38.5%	3.7 he deserves to get all the good things	2.0%	3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	29.4%	• 3.3 makes me curious • 3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	2.2%	• 3.17 makes me feel seen and validated • 3.6 steal his gender	49.5%	3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	0.9	3.8 the urge to fix him	32.1%
Net Share of Strong Agreement	3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	69.9%	3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	-35.6%	3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	76.0%	3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	-19.6%	3.3 makes me curious	74.2%	• 3.17 makes me feel seen and validated • 3.6 steal his gender	-63.4%	3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	73.6%	3.8 the urge to fix him	-24.5%
Ratio of Agreement	3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	35.3	3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	1.1	3.7 he deserves to get all the good things	46.0	3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	1.7	3.3 makes me curious	43.5	3.6 steal his gender	0.5	3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	98.0	3.8 the urge to fix him	1.6

Figure D1. The distribution of all answers by different levels of relevancy in categories *1 Writing and Acting* and *2 Izzy's Characteristics*, comparison between gender groups.

In all gender groups, category 1 had a bigger share of "extremely relevant" answers and a smaller share of "not relevant" answers than category 2.

"Quite relevant" answers were more common than "somewhat relevant" answers in both categories and among all gender groups. The difference between their shares was the biggest in category 1 among trans men & transmascs (14.9 percentage points) and the smallest in category 2 among cis women (7.5 percentage points).

The relevancy distributions of the two categories were most similar among trans men & transmascs and least similar among cis women.

There was more variance between gender groups in the distribution of category 2 answers.

Figure D2. The distribution of Likert scale answers in category *3 Specific Feelings Izzy Evokes*, comparison between gender groups.

Strongly agreeing answers had the biggest share in all gender groups and the lower the level of agreement, the less common of an answer it was.

The share of strongly agreeing answers was the biggest among trans men & transmascs (54.3%) and the smallest among cis women (41.6%).

The share of neutral answers was the biggest among cis women (16.4%) and the smallest among trans men & transmascs (12.2%).

The share of strongly disagreeing answers was the biggest among cis women (10.3%) and the smallest among trans men & transmascs (4.3%).

Among cis women, the total share of disagreeing and strongly disagreeing answers was more than the share of agreeing answers.

1 Writing and Acting

Trans men & transmascs (n=510): Extremely relevant 61.0%, Quite relevant 25.5%, Somewhat relevant 10.6%, Not relevant 2.9%

Cis women (n=929): Extremely relevant 60.9%, Quite relevant 23.3%, Somewhat relevant 12.9%, Not relevant 2.9%

Non-binary participants (n=1,059): Extremely relevant 60.0%, Quite relevant 24.0%, Somewhat relevant 12.7%, Not relevant 3.3%

2.1 Physical Appearance

Trans men & transmascs (n=305): 52.1%, 27.9%, 13.8%, 6.2%

Cis women (n=557): 47.0%, 21.4%, 18.7%, 12.9%

Non-binary participants (n=636): 54.9%, 20.6%, 15.6%, 9.0%

2.2 Personality

Trans men & transmascs (n=305): 50.5%, 29.2%, 16.4%, 3.9%

Cis women (n=558): 46.2%, 27.1%, 18.8%, 7.9%

Non-binary participants (n=636): 49.2%, 25.8%, 19.2%, 5.8%

2.3 Social Interacations

Trans men & transmascs (n=305): 57.7%, 24.9%, 14.8%, 2.6%

Cis women (n=558): 47.8%, 25.6%, 19.4%, 7.2%

Non-binary participants (n=636): 48.3%, 27.8%, 15.4%, 8.5%

2.4 Relationships

Trans men & transmascs (n=305): 73.1%, 20.0%, 5.2%, 1.6%

Cis women (n=558): 64.5%, 24.2%, 8.4%, 2.9%

Non-binary participants (n=636): 67.0%, 21.5%, 9.4%, 2.0%

2.5 Issues

Trans men & transmascs (n=306): 62.1%, 23.2%, 9.5%, 5.2%

Cis women (n=558): 48.6%, 26.7%, 14.3%, 10.4%

Non-binary participants (n=635): 54.8%, 21.4%, 15.7%, 8.0%

2.6 Sex & Sexuality

Trans men & transmascs (n=306): 52.0%, 23.2%, 12.4%, 12.4%

Cis women (n=557): 41.7%, 20.5%, 20.6%, 17.2%

Non-binary participants (n=636): 53.8%, 20.9%, 11.8%, 13.5%

Figure D3. The distribution of all answers by different levels of relevancy in groups of reasons (groups 1 and 2.1-2.6), comparison between genders groups.

In all gender groups, "extremely relevant" was the most common answer in all groups of reasons. It had the biggest share in group *2.4 Relationships*: 73.1% among trans men & transmascs, 67.0% among non-binary participants and 64.5% among cis women.

The group of reasons with the smallest share of "extremely relevant" answers was different among each gender group. For trans men & transmascs, it was *2.2 Personality* with 50.5% share. For cis women, it was *2.6 Sex & Sexuality* with 41.7% share. For non-binary participants, it was *2.3 Social Interactions* with 48.3% share.

In other groups of reasons, shares of "extremely relevant" answers varied between 52.0% and 62.1% (trans men & transmascs), 47.0% and 60.9% (cis women) and 49.2% and 60.0% (non-binary participants).

In all gender groups, "not relevant" was the least common answer in all groups of reasons with one exception: in *2.6 Sex & Sexuality*, the least common answer among non-binary participants was "somewhat relevant", and among trans men & transmascs the share of "not relevant" and "somewhat relevant" answers was equal. *2.6 Sex & Sexuality* was also the group with the biggest share of "not relevant" answers in all gender groups: 12.4% among trans men & transmascs, 17.2% among cis women and 13.5% of non-binary participants.

In all gender groups, the smallest share of "not relevant" answers was in *2.4 Relationships*: 1.6% among trans men & transmascs, 2.9% among cis women and 2.0% among non-binary participants. Among cis women, *1 Writing and Acting* had the same share of "not relevant" answers as *2.4 Relationships*.

In other groups of reasons, shares of "not relevant" answers varied between 2.6% and 6.2% (trans men & transmascs), 7.2% and 12.9% (cis women) and 3.3% and 9.0% (non-binary participants).

In all gender groups, "quite relevant" answers were more common than "somewhat relevant" answers in all groups of reasons with one exception: in *2.6 Sex & Sexuality*, cis women had equal shares of "somewhat relevant" (20.6%) and "quite relevant" (20.5%) answers.

Trans men & transmascs

1 Writing and Acting	81.6 / 81.6 / 1.9
2.1 Physical Appearance	69.6 / 63.8 / 1.5
2.2 Personality	69.8 / 65.8 / 1.1
2.3 Social Interactions	71.6 / 69.6 / 1.4
2.4 Relationships	84.2 / 81.9 / 2.9
2.5 Issues	75.0 / 70.8 / 1.8
2.6 Sex & Sexuality	74.5 / 70.6 / 1.6

Cis women

1 Writing and Acting	76.6 / 74.3 / 1.6
2.1 Physical Appearance	66.0 / 57.9 / 1.1
2.2 Personality	62.1 / 56.7 / 0.9
2.3 Social Interactions	64.0 / 56.5 / 1.0
2.4 Relationships	79.0 / 77.4 / 1.9
2.5 Issues	72.3 / 69.6 / 1.2
2.6 Sex & Sexuality	56.3 / 38.6 / 1.0

Non-binary participants

1 Writing and Acting	80.7 / 78.8 / 2.0
2.1 Physical Appearance	68.5 / 61.9 / 1.3
2.2 Personality	65.8 / 60.1 / 1.0
2.3 Social Interactions	66.7 / 56.4 / 1.4
2.4 Relationships	80.3 / 78.8 / 2.1
2.5 Issues	74.3 / 70.5 / 1.6
2.6 Sex & Sexuality	72.4 / 60.6 / 2.3

Legend: Median Relevancy Score (RS); Median Net Relevancy Score (Net RS); Median Ratio Score of Extreme Relevancy (Ratio ER). Score (log scale).

Figure D4. The median Relevancy Score (RS), Net Relevancy Score (Net RS) and Ratio Score of Extreme Relevancy (Ratio ER) of groups of reasons (groups 1 and 2.1-2.6) on a logarithmic scale, comparison between gender groups.

Among trans men & transmascs, group *4.2 Relationships* had the highest median scores: RS 84.2, Net RS 81.9 and Ratio ER 2.9. It also had the highest median scores among cis women: RS 79.0, Net RS 77.4 and Ratio ER 1.9. Among non-binary participants, group *1 Writing and Acting* had the highest median RS (80.7) and median Net RS (78.8; tied with *2.4 Relationships*) and group *2.6 Sex & Sexuality* had the highest median Ratio ER (2.3).

Among trans men & transmascs, group *2.1 Physical Appearance* had the lowest median RS (69.6) and median Net RS (63.8). Among cis women, *2.6 Sex & Sexuality* had the lowest median RS (56.3) and median Net RS (38.6). Among non-binary people, *2.2 Personality* had the lowest median RS (65.8) and *2.3 Social Interactions* had the lowest median Net RS (56.4).

2.2 Personality had the lowest Ratio ER in all gender groups: 1.1 among trans men & transmascs, 0.9 among cis women and 1.0 among non-binary participants.

Figure D5. Relevancy Profiles of groups 1 and 2.1-2.6 based on RS and Net RS, comparison between gender groups.

In all gender groups, *2.4 Relationships* had the biggest Net High RS: 83% among trans men & transmascs and 50% among cis women and non-binary participants. None of the gender groups had any low RS reasons in this group.

The smallest Net High RS was -66% in *2.6 Sex & Sexuality* among cis women. There were no high RS reasons in the group. Among non-binary participants, the smallest Net High RS was -50% in *2.3 Social Interactions*. There were no high RS reasons in the group. The smallest Net High RS among trans men & transmascs was -33%. Three groups of reasons had this score: *2.1 Physical Apperance*, *2.2 Personality* and *2.6 Sex & Sexuality*. There was one high RS reason in *2.1 Physical Appearance* and none in the other two groups.

Based on the RS range and Net RS range of groups of reasons, in groups 2.1-2.5 the relevancy of the reasons was most balanced among trans men & transmascs. In groups 1 and 2.6 the relevancy of the reasons was most balanced among cis women.

Trans men & transmascs

1 Writing and Acting
High Ratio ER 40%
Low Ratio ER 20%
Net High Ratio ER 20%
Ratio ER range: 0.4-6.3 (5.9)

1.1 Con's performance
1.2 well written
1.3 brings tension
1.4 in different genre
1.5 fleshed-out character
1.6 character arc with potential
1.7 always having the worst day
1.8 mysterious background
1.9 source of character analysis
1.10 intriguing interactions

2.1 Physical Appearance
High Ratio ER 17%
Low Ratio ER 50%
Net High Ratio ER -33%
Ratio ER range: 0.6-2.4 (1.8)

2.1.1 style
2.1.2 body type
2.1.3 non-verbal
2.1.4 voice
2.1.5 swordsmanship
2.1.6 trans man

2.2 Personality
High Ratio ER 0%
Low Ratio ER 50%
Net High Ratio ER -50%
Ratio ER range: 0.8-1.5 (0.7)

2.2.1 angry
2.2.2 misfit
2.2.3 competent
2.2.4 hates change
2.2.5 snark
2.2.6 jealous

2.3 Social Interactions
High Ratio ER 33%
Low Ratio ER 33%
Net High Ratio ER 0%
Ratio ER range: 0.8-2.8 (2.0)

2.3.1 lack of authority
2.3.2 always failing
2.3.3 justifiably grumpy
2.3.4 working class guy
2.3.5 resenting Stede
2.3.6 camp & cringe

2.4 Relationships
High Ratio ER 87%
Low Ratio ER 0%
Net High Ratio ER 83%
Ratio ER range: 2.3-3.6 (1.3)

2.4.1 codependency
2.4.2 loyalty
2.4.3 unrequited feelings
2.4.4 jilted spouse
2.4.5 "old married couple"
2.4.6 protective

2.5 Issues
High Ratio ER 33%
Low Ratio ER 0%
Net High Ratio ER 17%
Ratio ER range: 1.2-4.3 (3.1)

2.5.1 anger as shield
2.5.2 pathetic
2.5.3 looks tougher than is
2.5.4 angry transmasc energy
2.5.5 fear of abandonment
2.5.6 touch-starved

2.6 Sex & Sexuality
High Ratio ER 33%
Low Ratio ER 33%
Net High Ratio ER -17%
Ratio ER range: 0.3-3.7 (3.4)

2.6.1 repressed horny energy
2.6.2 internalised homophobia
2.6.3 slut shaped
2.6.4 bottom
2.6.5 submissive
2.6.6 masochist

Cis women

1 Writing and Acting
High Ratio ER 40%
Low Ratio ER 10%
Net High Ratio ER 30%
Ratio ER range: 0.7-7.4 (6.7)

2.1 Physical Appearance
High Ratio ER 33%
Low Ratio ER 33%
Net High Ratio ER 0%
Ratio ER range: 0.3-4.5 (4.2)

2.2 Personality
High Ratio ER 17%
Low Ratio ER 50%
Net High Ratio ER -33%
Ratio ER range: 0.6-2.4 (1.8)

2.3 Social Interactions
High Ratio ER 17%
Low Ratio ER 33%
Net High Ratio ER -17%
Ratio ER range: 0.4-3.4 (3.0)

2.4 Relationships
High Ratio ER 50%
Low Ratio ER 0%
Net High Ratio ER 33%
Ratio ER range: 1.3-2.9 (1.6)

2.5 Issues
High Ratio ER 17%
Low Ratio ER 33%
Net High Ratio ER -17%
Ratio ER range: 0.4-2.5 (2.1)

2.6 Sex & Sexuality
High Ratio ER 17%
Low Ratio ER 33%
Net High Ratio ER -17%
Ratio ER range: 0.4-2.3 (1.9)

Non-binary participants

1 Writing and Acting
High Ratio ER 40%
Low Ratio ER 20%
Net High Ratio ER 20%
Ratio ER range: 0.5-4.9 (4.4)

2.1 Physical Appearance
High Ratio ER 33%
Low Ratio ER 50%
Net High Ratio ER 0%
Ratio ER range: 0.6-3.8 (3.2)

2.2 Personality
High Ratio ER 0%
Low Ratio ER 50%
Net High Ratio ER -50%
Ratio ER range: 0.8-1.8 (1.0)

2.3 Social Interactions
High Ratio ER 0%
Low Ratio ER 33%
Net High Ratio ER -33%
Ratio ER range: 0.6-1.6 (1.0)

2.4 Relationships
High Ratio ER 50%
Low Ratio ER 0%
Net High Ratio ER 50%
Ratio ER range: 1.5-4.5 (3.0)

2.5 Issues
High Ratio ER 17%
Low Ratio ER 33%
Net High Ratio ER -17%
Ratio ER range: 0.6-4.7 (4.1)

2.6 Sex & Sexuality
High Ratio ER 50%
Low Ratio ER 17%
Net High Ratio ER 33%
Ratio ER range: 0.4-2.5 (2.1)

Figure D6. Relevancy Profiles of groups 1 and 2.1-2.6 based on Ratio ER, comparison between gender groups.

In all gender groups, *2.4 Relationships* had the biggest Net High Ratio ER: 83% among trans men & transmascs and 33% among cis women and 50% among non-binary participants. None of the gender groups had any low RS reasons in this group.

In all gender groups, *2.2 Personality* had the smallest Net High Ratio ER: -50% among trans men & transmascs and non-binary participants and -33% among cis women. In each gender group, there were three low Ratio ER reasons in this group, but among cis women there was also one high Ratio ER reason.

Based on the Ratio ER range of groups of reasons, in groups 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4 the relevancy of the reasons was most balanced among trans men & transmascs. In groups 2.5 and 2.6 the relevancy of the reasons was most balanced among cis women. In groups 1 and 2.3 the relevancy of the reasons was most balanced among non-binary participants.

Biggest and smallest shares of "extremely relevant" answers
Trans men & transmascs

Legend: Extremely relevant · Quite relevant · Somewhat relevant · Not relevant

Reason	Extremely relevant	Quite relevant	Somewhat relevant	Not relevant
1.1 Con's performance (n=51)	86.3%	11.8%	2.0%	
2.4.1 codependency (n=51)	78.4%	17.6%	3.9%	
2.5.6 touch-starved (n=51)	76.5%	13.7%	3.9%	5.9%
1.5 fleshed-out character (n=51)	74.5%	23.5%	2.0%	
2.4.5 "old married couple" (n=51)	74.5%	13.7%	9.8%	2.0%
2.4.6 protective (n=51)	74.5%	17.6%	3.9%	3.9%
2.6.4 bottom (n=51)	37.3%	25.5%	15.7%	21.6%
2.1.5 swordsmanship (n=50)	36.0%	44.0%	18.0%	2.0%
2.1.2 body type (n=51)	29.4%	33.3%	17.6%	19.6%
1.8 mysterious background (n=51)	23.5%	31.4%	29.4%	15.7%
2.6.2 internalised homophobia (n=51)	15.7%	25.5%	23.5%	35.3%

Biggest and smallest shares of "extremely relevant" answers
Cis women

Legend: Extremely relevant · Quite relevant · Somewhat relevant · Not relevant

Reason	Extremely relevant	Quite relevant	Somewhat relevant	Not relevant
1.1 Con's performance (n=93)	87.1%	9.7%	2.2%	1.1%
2.1.3 non-verbal (n=93)	81.7%	17.2%		1.1%
2.3.3 justifiably grumpy (n=93)	76.3%	15.1%	7.5%	1.1%
2.1.4 voice (n=93)	75.3%	12.9%	10.8%	1.1%
1.6 character arc with potential (n=93)	73.1%	17.2%	8.6%	1.1%
2.6.4 bottom (n=92)	23.9%	21.7%	29.3%	25.0%
2.6.2 internalised homophobia (n=93)	20.4%	26.9%	24.7%	28.0%
2.5.4 angry transmasc energy (n=93)	19.4%	22.6%	24.7%	33.3%
2.1.2 body type (n=93)	17.2%	29.0%	38.7%	15.1%
2.1.6. trans man (n=92)	13.0%	8.7%	32.6%	45.7%

Biggest and smallest shares of "extremely relevant" answers
Non-binary participants

Legend: Extremely relevant · Quite relevant · Somewhat relevant · Not relevant

Reason	Extremely relevant	Quite relevant	Somewhat relevant	Not relevant
1.1 Con's performance (n=106)	83.0%	15.1%	1.9%	
2.4.1 codependency (n=106)	80.2%	14.2%	3.8%	1.9%
2.5.6 touch-starved (n=106)	80.0%	11.4%	5.7%	2.9%
2.1.3 non-verbal (n=106)	78.3%	17.0%	3.8%	0.9%
2.1.4 voice (n=106)	77.4%	16.0%	5.7%	0.9%
2.3.1 lack of authority (n=106)	32.1%	40.6%	17.0%	10.4%
2.1.2 body type (n=106)	31.1%	17.0%	34.0%	17.9%
2.5.4 angry transmasc energy (n=106)	30.2%	17.9%	32.1%	19.8%
1.8 mysterious background (n=106)	28.3%	32.1%	30.2%	9.4%
2.6.2 internalised homophobia (n=106)	20.8%	22.6%	24.5%	32.1%

Figure D7. The distribution of answers with different levels of relevancy for reasons that had the biggest and smallest shares of "extremely relevant" answers in groups 1 and 2.1-2.6, comparison between gender groups. The reasons are in descending order by their share of "extremely relevant" answers.

In all gender groups, *1.1 Con O'Neill's performance as Izzy* had the biggest share of "extremely relevant" answers: 86.3% among trans men & transmascs, 87.1% among cis women and 83.0% among non-binary participants.

The 5th-2nd biggest shares were 74.5-78.4% among trans men & transmascs, 73.1-81.7% among cis women and 77.4-80.2% among non-binary participants.

Among cis women, the smallest share of "extremely relevant" answers was 13.0% for *2.1.6 Izzy can be interpreted as a trans man*.

Among trans men & transmascs and non-binary participants, the smallest share of "extremely relevant" answers was for *2.6.2 Izzy's has internalised homophobia:* 15.7% among trans men & transmascs and 20.8% among non-binary participants.

The 2nd-5th smallest shares were 23.5-37.3% among trans men & transmascs, 17.2-23.9% among cis women and 28.3-32.1% among non-binary participants.

Biggest and smallest shares of "not relevant" answers
Trans men & transmascs

Legend: Extremely relevant | Quite relevant | Somewhat relevant | Not relevant

Reason	Extremely relevant	Quite relevant	Somewhat relevant	Not relevant
1.1 Con's performance (n=51)	86.3%	11.8%	2.0%	
2.4.1 codependency (n=51)	78.4%	17.6%	3.9%	
1.5 fleshed-out character (n=51)	74.5%	23.5%	2.0%	
1.6 character arc with potential (n=51)	72.5%	17.6%	9.8%	
2.4.4 jilted spouse (n=51)	72.5%	21.6%	5.9%	
1.10 intriguing interactions (n=51)	70.6%	21.6%	7.8%	
2.1.4 voice (n=51)	70.6%	23.5%	5.9%	
2.3.2 always failing (n=51)	70.6%	23.5%	5.9%	
1.3 brings tension (n=51)	66.7%	27.5%	5.9%	
2.1.3 non-verbal (n=51)	66.7%	23.5%	9.8%	
1.2 well written (n=51)	64.7%	31.4%	3.9%	
2.3.3 justifiably grumpy (n=51)	52.9%	31.4%	15.7%	
2.2.2 misfit (n=51)	49.0%	33.3%	17.6%	
2.2.4 hates change (n=51)	45.1%	39.2%	15.7%	
2.3.5 resenting Stede (n=50)	44.0%	32.0%	24.0%	
2.1.6. trans man (n=51)	60.8%	11.8%	13.7%	13.7%
1.8 mysterious background (n=51)	23.5%	31.4%	29.4%	15.7%
2.1.2 body type (n=51)	29.4%	33.3%	17.6%	19.6%
2.6.4 bottom (n=51)	37.3%	25.5%	15.7%	21.6%
2.6.2 internalised homophobia (n=51)	15.7%	25.5%	23.5%	35.3%

Biggest and smallest shares of "not relevant" answers
Cis women

Legend: Extremely relevant | Quite relevant | Somewhat relevant | Not relevant

Reason	Extremely relevant	Quite relevant	Somewhat relevant	Not relevant
2.1.3 non-verbal (n=93)	81.7%	17.2%	1.1%	
1.2 well written (n=93)	62.4%	31.2%	6.5%	
1.1 Con's performance (n=93)	87.1%	9.7%	2.2%	1.1%
2.3.3 justifiably grumpy (n=93)	76.3%	15.1%	7.5%	1.1%
2.1.4 voice (n=93)	75.3%	12.9%	10.8%	1.1%
1.6 character arc with potential (n=93)	73.1%	17.2%	8.6%	1.1%
1.5 fleshed-out character (n=92)	70.7%	20.7%	7.6%	1.1%
2.4.2 loyalty (n=93)	64.5%	28.0%	6.5%	1.1%
1.3 brings tension (n=93)	61.3%	30.1%	7.5%	1.1%
1.7 always having the worst day (n=93)	50.5%	28.0%	20.4%	1.1%
2.6.6 masochist (n=93)	40.9%	15.1%	24.7%	19.4%
2.5.2 pathetic (n=93)	30.1%	28.0%	22.6%	19.4%
2.6.4 bottom (n=92)	23.9%	21.7%	29.3%	25.0%
2.6.2 internalised homophobia (n=93)	20.4%	26.9%	24.7%	28.0%
2.5.4 angry transmasc energy (n=93)	19.4%	22.6%	24.7%	33.3%
2.1.6. trans man (n=92)	13.0%	8.7%	32.6%	45.7%

Biggest and smallest shares of "not relevant" answers
Non-binary participants

Legend: Extremely relevant | Quite relevant | Somewhat relevant | Not relevant

| Reason	Extremely relevant	Quite relevant	Somewhat relevant	Not relevant
1.1 Con's performance (n=106)	83.0%	15.1%	1.9%	
1.5 fleshed-out character (n=106)	74.5%	17.0%	8.5%	
2.4.2 loyalty (n=106)	65.1%	26.4%	8.5%	
2.1.3 non-verbal (n=106)	78.3%	17.0%	3.8%	0.9%
2.1.4 voice (n=106)	77.4%	16.0%	5.7%	0.9%
1.2 well written (n=106)	73.6%	18.9%	6.6%	0.9%
2.6.1 repressed horny energy (n=106)	70.8%	23.6%	4.7%	0.9%
1.10 intriguing interactions (n=106)	65.1%	26.4%	7.5%	0.9%
2.2.3 competent (n=106)	64.2%	24.5%	10.4%	0.9%
2.1.2 body type (n=106)	31.1%	17.0%	34.0%	17.9%
2.6.4 bottom (n=106)	43.4%	20.8%	17.0%	18.9%
2.5.4 angry transmasc energy (n=106)	30.2%	17.9%	32.1%	19.8%
2.1.6. trans man (n=106)	38.7%	23.6%	17.0%	20.8%
2.6.2 internalised homophobia (n=106)	20.8%	22.6%	24.5%	32.1%

Figure D8. The distribution of answers with different levels of relevancy for reasons that had the biggest and smallest shares of "not relevant" answers in groups 1 and 2.1-2.6, comparison between gender groups. The reasons are in ascending order by their share of "not relevant" answers. The reasons with equal share of "not relevant" answers are in descending order by their share of "extremely relevant" answers.

In all gender groups, there were reasons that had 0% of "not relevant" answers: 15 reasons among trans men & transmascs, 3 among non-binary participants and 2 among cis women. Out of these reasons, the one with the biggest share of "extremely relevant" answers was chosen as the one having the smallest share of "not relevant" answers. For trans men & transmascs it was *1.1 Con O'Neill's performance as Izzy* and for cis women it was *2.1.3 Izzy's body language, facial expressions and non-verbal communication*.

Among cis women, the biggest share of "not relevant" answers was 45.7% for *2.1.6 Izzy can be interpreted as a trans man*.

Among trans men & transmascs and non-binary participants, the biggest share of "not relevant" answers was for *2.6.2 Izzy's has internalised homophobia*: 35.3% among trans men & transmascs and 32.1% among non-binary participants.

Trans men & transmascs

	Q1 69.1	Q2 75.0	Q3 83.3

Reason	RS
1.1 Con's performance	92.6
2.4.1 codependency	88.2
1.5 fleshed-out character	86.8
2.4.4 jilted spouse	84.8
2.4.6 protective	84.3
2.5.6 touch-starved	84.3
2.4.2 loyalty	84.0
1.6 character arc with potential	83.8
2.1.4 voice	83.8
2.3.2 always failing	83.8
2.4.5 "old married couple"	83.8
1.10 intriguing interactions	83.3
1.3 brings tension	81.9
2.4.3 unrequited feelings	81.4
2.5.1 anger as shield	81.4
2.6.1 repressed horny energy	81.4
1.2 well written	81.4
2.3.6 camp & cringe	81.4
2.6.3 slut shaped	81.4
2.1.3 non-verbal	80.9
2.6.5 submissive	76.5
1.9 source of character analysis	75.5
2.5.5 fear of abandonment	75.5
2.5.2 pathetic	74.5
2.6.6 masochist	72.5
2.3.3 justifiably grumpy	72.5
2.5.3 looks tougher than is	72.1
1.7 always having the worst day	71.6
2.3.1 lack of authority	70.6
2.2.3 competent	70.6
2.2.2 misfit	70.1
2.1.6. trans man	70.1
2.2.1 angry	70.0
2.2.6 jealous	69.6
2.1.1 style	69.1
2.2.4 hates change	68.6
2.3.4 working class guy	68.6
2.5.4 angry transmasc energy	68.6
2.2.5 snark	66.2
2.3.5 resenting Stede	66.0
2.1.5 swordmanship	62.5
1.4 in different genre	60.3
2.6.4 bottom	53.9
2.1.2 body type	50.5
1.8 mysterious background	46.6
2.6.2 internalised homophobia	34.3

Relevancy Score (RS)

Cis women

	Q1 58.1	Q2 70.0	Q3 79.6

Reason	RS
1.1 Con's performance	92.5
2.1.3 non-verbal	90.6
2.3.3 justifiably grumpy	85.8
2.1.4 voice	84.4
1.6 character arc with potential	83.9
2.4.1 codependency	83.1
1.5 fleshed-out character	82.9
2.4.6 protective	82.5
2.5.6 touch-starved	82.0
2.4.2 loyalty	80.1
2.5.1 anger as shield	79.8
1.2 well written	79.6
2.2.3 competent	79.6
1.3 brings tension	78.2
2.4.4 jilted spouse	78.0
2.4.5 "old married couple"	78.0
2.6.1 repressed horny energy	77.2
1.10 intriguing interactions	75.0
2.5.5 fear of abandonment	74.2
1.9 source of character analysis	73.7
2.4.3 unrequited feelings	70.7
2.5.3 looks tougher than is	70.4
2.6.3 slut shaped	70.2
2.2.5 snark	69.9
1.7 always having the worst day	69.6
2.1.1 style	69.4
2.3.2 always failing	68.8
1.4 in different genre	65.6
2.2.2 misfit	64.5
2.3.5 resenting Stede	64.5
2.3.6 camp & cringe	63.4
2.6.6 masochist	62.6
2.2.4 hates change	59.7
2.3.4 working class guy	58.3
2.6.5 submissive	58.1
2.2.6 jealous	57.3
1.8 mysterious background	57.0
2.2.1 angry	55.9
2.6.6 masochist	54.6
2.3.1 lack of authority	52.2
2.5.2 pathetic	49.7
2.6.4 bottom	42.1
2.1.2 body type	41.4
2.6.2 internalised homophobia	40.1
2.5.4 angry transmasc energy	36.8
2.1.6. trans man	25.5

Relevancy Score (RS)

Non-binary participants

	Q1 63.9	Q2 72.6	Q3 80.4

Reason	RS
1.1 Con's performance	91.0
2.4.1 codependency	88.2
2.1.3 non-verbal	87.7
2.5.6 touch-starved	87.1
2.1.4 voice	86.8
1.5 fleshed-out character	85.1
1.2 well written	84.7
2.6.1 repressed horny energy	83.7
2.4.4 jilted spouse	81.1
1.3 brings tension	80.9
1.6 character arc with potential	80.4
2.4.2 loyalty	80.4
1.10 intriguing interactions	80.2
2.4.3 unrequited feelings	80.2
2.2.3 competent	79.0
2.6.3 slut shaped	78.3
2.4.6 protective	77.6
2.5.1 anger as shield	77.1
2.3.3 justifiably grumpy	76.4
2.5.5 fear of abandonment	74.8
2.5.3 looks tougher than is	73.8
2.4.5 "old married couple"	73.1
2.6.5 submissive	73.1
1.9 source of character analysis	72.2
2.6.6 masochist	71.7
2.3.6 camp & cringe	70.5
2.1.1 style	70.3
2.3.2 always failing	69.6
2.1.5 swordmanship	66.7
2.2.4 hates change	66.7
1.7 always having the worst day	66.3
2.2.2 misfit	66.0
2.2.1 angry	65.6
2.2.5 snark	65.3
2.3.4 working class guy	63.9
2.3.5 resenting Stede	59.2
2.2.6 jealous	58.7
1.4 in different genre	58.6
2.6.4 bottom	58.0
2.5.2 pathetic	56.8
2.3.1 lack of authority	56.6
2.1.6. trans man	54.7
1.8 mysterious background	51.9
2.1.2 body type	48.1
2.5.4 angry transmasc energy	47.2
2.6.2 internalised homophobia	38.2

Relevancy Score (RS)

Figure D9. Relevancy Score (RS) of individual reasons in groups 1 and 2.1-2.6, comparison between gender groups. There are 46 reasons in total.

The median score was 75.0 among trans men & transmascs (Q1 69.1, Q3 83.3), 70.0 among cis women (Q1 58.1, Q3 79.6) and 72.6 among non-binary participants (Q1 63.9, Q3 80.4).

In all gender groups, *1.1 Con O'Neill's performance as Izzy* had the highest RS: 92.6 among trans men & transmascs, 92.5 among cis women and 91.0 among non-binary participants.

2.6.2 Izzy's has internalised homophobia had the lowest RS among trans men & transmascs (34.3) and non-binary participants (38.2). Among cis women, *2.1.6 Izzy can be interpreted as a trans man* had the lowest RS (25.5).

For other reasons, RS varied between 46.6 and 88.2 (trans men & transmascs), 36.8 and 90.6 (cis women) and 47.2 and 88.2 (non-binary participants).

Trans men & transmascs — Net Relevancy Score (Net RS)
Q1 65.7, Q2 72.1, Q3 81.4

Reason	Net RS
1.1 Con's performance	92.6
2.4.1 codependency	88.2
1.5 fleshed-out character	86.8
2.4.4 jilted spouse	84.8
1.6 character arc with potential	83.8
2.1.4 voice	83.8
2.3.2 always failing	83.8
1.10 intriguing interactions	83.3
2.4.2 loyalty	82.0
1.3 brings tension	81.9
2.4.5 "old married couple"	81.9
1.2 well written	81.4
2.1.3 non-verbal	80.9
2.4.6 protective	80.4
2.4.3 unrequited feelings	79.4
2.5.1 anger as shield	79.4
2.6.1 repressed horny energy	79.4
2.5.6 touch-starved	78.4
2.3.6 camp & cringe	77.5
2.5.5 fear of abandonment	73.5
2.6.3 slut shaped	73.5
2.6.5 submissive	72.5
2.3.3 justifiably grumpy	72.5
1.9 source of character analysis	71.6
2.2.2 misfit	70.1
1.7 always having the worst day	69.6
2.2.4 hates change	68.6
2.6.6 masochist	68.6
2.5.3 looks tougher than is	68.1
2.1.1 style	67.2
2.3.1 lack of authority	66.7
2.5.2 pathetic	66.7
2.2.1 angry	66.0
2.3.5 resenting Stede	66.0
2.2.6 jealous	65.7
2.2.3 competent	62.7
2.3.4 working class guy	60.8
2.1.5 swordmanship	60.5
2.5.4 angry transmasc energy	58.8
2.2.5 snark	58.3
2.1.6 trans man	56.4
1.4 in different genre	52.5
2.6.4 bottom	32.4
2.1.2 body type	30.9
1.8 mysterious background	30.9
2.6.2 internalised homophobia	-1.0

Cis women — Net Relevancy Score (Net RS)
Q1 46.8, Q2 65.9, Q3 77.7

Reason	Net RS
1.1 Con's performance	91.4
2.1.3 non-verbal	90.6
2.3.3 justifiably grumpy	84.7
2.1.4 voice	83.3
1.6 character arc with potential	82.8
1.5 fleshed-out character	81.8
2.4.6 protective	80.4
2.4.1 codependency	79.8
2.5.6 touch-starved	79.8
1.2 well written	79.6
2.4.2 loyalty	79.0
2.5.1 anger as shield	77.7
1.3 brings tension	77.2
2.4.4 jilted spouse	75.8
2.2.3 competent	75.3
2.4.5 "old married couple"	74.7
2.5.5 fear of abandonment	72.0
2.6.1 repressed horny energy	71.8
1.9 source of character analysis	71.5
1.7 always having the worst day	68.5
2.5.3 looks tougher than is	67.2
2.2.5 snark	66.7
1.10 intriguing interactions	66.4
2.4.3 unrequited feelings	65.3
2.1.1 style	65.1
2.3.2 always failing	63.4
1.4 in different genre	61.3
2.6.3 slut shaped	60.5
2.2.2 misfit	60.2
2.3.5 resenting Stede	59.1
2.3.6 camp & cringe	53.8
2.2.4 hates change	53.2
2.1.5 swordmanship	50.8
1.8 mysterious background	48.4
2.3.1 lack of authority	46.8
2.2.6 jealous	45.4
2.3.4 working class guy	42.2
2.6.5 submissive	41.9
2.2.1 angry	38.7
2.6.6 masochist	35.2
2.5.2 pathetic	30.4
2.1.2 body type	26.3
2.6.4 bottom	17.1
2.6.2 internalised homophobia	12.1
2.5.4 angry transmasc energy	3.5
2.1.6 trans man	-20.1

Non-binary participants — Net Relevancy Score (Net RS)
Q1 51.7, Q2 67.0, Q3 79.0

Reason	Net RS
1.1 Con's performance	91.0
2.1.3 non-verbal	86.8
2.4.1 codependency	86.3
2.1.4 voice	85.8
1.5 fleshed-out character	85.1
2.5.6 touch-starved	84.3
1.2 well written	83.7
2.6.1 repressed horny energy	82.8
2.4.2 loyalty	80.4
1.10 intriguing interactions	79.2
2.4.4 jilted spouse	79.2
1.3 brings tension	79.0
1.6 character arc with potential	78.5
2.4.3 unrequited feelings	78.3
2.2.3 competent	78.1
2.4.6 protective	75.7
2.5.1 anger as shield	75.2
2.3.3 justifiably grumpy	73.6
2.6.3 slut shaped	72.6
2.5.3 looks tougher than is	71.0
1.9 source of character analysis	70.3
2.5.5 fear of abandonment	70.0
2.4.5 "old married couple"	68.4
2.1.1 style	65.6
2.2.5 snark	63.4
2.3.6 camp & cringe	63.0
2.6.5 submissive	62.7
2.3.2 always failing	61.1
2.2.1 angry	60.8
1.7 always having the worst day	60.6
2.2.2 misfit	59.4
2.6.6 masochist	58.5
2.1.5 swordmanship	58.3
2.2.4 hates change	58.3
2.3.4 working class guy	51.7
2.3.5 resenting Stede	49.8
1.4 in different genre	48.1
2.2.6 jealous	46.5
2.3.1 lack of authority	46.2
1.8 mysterious background	42.5
2.5.2 pathetic	40.8
2.6.4 bottom	39.2
2.1.6 trans man	34.0
2.1.2 body type	30.2
2.5.4 angry transmasc energy	27.4
2.6.2 internalised homophobia	6.1

Figure D10. Net Relevancy Score (Net RS) of individual reasons in groups 1 and 2.1-2.6, comparison between gender groups. There are 46 reasons in total.

The median score was 72.1 among trans men & transmascs (Q1 65.7, Q3 81.4), 65.9 among cis women (Q1 46.8, Q3 77.7) and 67.0 among non-binary participants (Q1 51.7, Q3 79.0).

In all gender groups, *1.1 Con O'Neill's performance as Izzy* had the highest Net RS: 92.6 among trans men & transmascs, 91.4 among cis women and 91.0 among non-binary participants.

2.6.2 Izzy's has internalised homophobia had the lowest Net RS among trans men & transmascs (-1.0) and non-binary participants (6.1). Among cis women, *2.1.6 Izzy can be interpreted as a trans man* had the lowest Net RS (-20.1).

For other reasons, Net RS varied between 30.9 and 88.2 (trans men & transmascs), 3.5 and 90.6 (cis women) and 27.4 and 86.8 (non-binary participants).

Figure D11. Ratio Score of Extreme Relevancy (Ratio ER) of individual reasons in groups 1 and 2.1-2.6 on a logarithmic scale, comparison between gender groups. There are 46 reasons in total.

The median score was 1.7 among trans men & transmascs (Q1 1.1, Q3 2.4), 1.1 among cis women (Q1 0.9, Q3 2.3) and 1.5 among non-binary participants (Q1 1.0, Q3 2.3).

In all gender groups, *1.1 Con O'Neill's performance as Izzy* had the highest Ratio ER: 6.3 among trans men & transmascs, 7.4 among cis women and 4.9 among non-binary participants.

2.6.2 Izzy's has internalised homophobia had the lowest Ratio ER among trans men & transmascs (0.3) and non-binary participants (0.4). Among cis women, *2.1.2 Izzy's body type* had the lowest Ratio ER (0.3).

For other reasons, Ratio ER varied between 0.4 and 4.3 (trans men & transmascs), 0.3 and 4.5 (cis women) and 0.5 and 4.7 (non-binary participants).

Figure D12. Differences in ranking of the individual reasons in groups 1 and 2.1-2.6 based on RS, comparison between gender groups.

26 reasons ranked differently in one gender group than the others: 13 reasons among trans men & transmascs, 10 reasons among cis women and 3 reasons among non-binary participants. 14 of these reasons ranked differently based on Ratio ER too.

There were 3 high RS reasons among trans men & transmascs. They were in groups *1 Writing and Acting*, *2.3 Social Interactions* and *2.4 Relationships*. There were 4 low RS reasons, divided evenly in groups *2.1 Physical Appearance* and *2.2 Personality*.

There were 3 high RS reasons among cis women. They were in groups *2.2 Personality*, *2.3 Social Interactions* and *2.5 Issues*. There were 3 low RS reasons: 1 in *2.2 Personality* and 2 in *2.6 Sex & Sexuality*.

There were 2 high RS reasons among non-binary participants. They were in groups *1 Writing and Acting* and *2.6 Sex & Sexuality*.

Figure D13. Differences in ranking of the individual reasons in groups 1 and 2.1-2.6 based on Ratio ER, comparison between gender groups.

30 reasons ranked differently in one gender group than the others: 16 reasons among trans men & transmascs, 4 reasons among cis women and 10 reasons among non-binary participants. 14 of these reasons ranked differently based on RS too. In addition, there was one reason that had a different level of relevancy ranking in each gender group.

There were 5 high Ratio ER reasons among trans men & transmascs: 2 in *2.3 Social Interactions*, 2 in *2.4 Relationships* and 1 in *2.6 Sex & Sexuality*. There were 4 low Ratio ER reasons: 2 in *2.1 Physical Appearance*, 1 in *2.2 Personality* and 1 in *2.3 Social Interactions*.

There were 2 high Ratio ER reasons among cis women. They were in groups *2.2 Personality* and *2.3 Social Interactions*.

There were 5 high Ratio ER reasons among non-binary participants: 2 in *1 Writing and Acting*, 2 in *2.6 Sex & Sexuality* and 1 in *2.4 Relationships*.

Figure D14. Share of Agreeing Answers on specific feelings Izzy evokes, comparison between gender groups. There are 18 feelings in total.

The median was 75.5% among trans men & transmascs (Q1 66.7%, Q3 82.4%), 57.3% among cis women (Q1 51.1%, Q3 71.0%) and 66.5% among non-binary participants (Q1 61.3%, Q3 83.0%).

Among trans men & transmascs and non-binary participants, *3.15 I enjoy the way how fanfic writers can make Izzy feel all the emotions and it just works* had the biggest share of Agreeing Answers: 96.0% (trans men & transmascs) and 92.5% (non-binary participants).

Among cis women, *3.3 Izzy makes me so curious and I want to know more—about his past, about what he's been through, about how he'll react to future situations* had the biggest share of Agreeing Answers (93.5%).

Among trans men & transmascs and non-binary participants, *3.17 Izzy makes me feel seen and validated, I feel like he represents me* had the smallest share of Agreeing Answers: 51.0% (trans men & transmascs) and 51.9% (non-binary participants).

Among cis women, *3.6 I want to steal Izzy's gender* had the smallest share of Agreeing Answers (24.7%).

For other feelings, the share of Agreeing Answers varied between 58.5% and 92.2% (trans men & transmascs), 26.9% and 91.4% (cis women) and 52.8% and 86.8% (non-binary participants).

Trans men & transmascs

Q1 7.8% Q2 9.8% Q3 17.6%

Feeling	Share
3.7 he deserves to get all the good things	2.0%
3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	4.0%
3.3 makes me curious	5.9%
3.2 pick him apart for better understanding	7.8%
3.12 fun to ruin and wreck sexually	7.8%
3.16 relating to him	7.8%
3.4 poor little bastard making my heart ache	9.8%
3.6 steal his gender	9.8%
3.11 he's hot in a pathetic way	9.8%
3.13 fandom bicycle	9.8%
3.14 muse for my (NSFW) fanworks	11.8%
3.9 failing at everything makes me want to squeeze him	15.7%
3.1 comfort character	16.0%
3.5 understanding Izzy's POV	17.6%
3.18 easy to project onto	17.6%
3.10 put him in a jar and shake it	21.6%
3.8 the urge to fix him	23.5%
3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	29.4%

Share of Disagreeing Answers

Cis women

Q1 11.8% Q2 23.2% Q3 31.2%

Feeling	Share
3.3 makes me curious	2.2%
3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	2.2%
3.7 he deserves to get all the good things	3.2%
3.2 pick him apart for better understanding	5.4%
3.4 poor little bastard making my heart ache	11.8%
3.12 fun to ruin and wreck sexually	14.0%
3.1 comfort character	19.4%
3.5 understanding Izzy's POV	22.6%
3.13 fandom bicycle	22.6%
3.14 muse for my (NSFW) fanworks	23.9%
3.16 relating to him	23.9%
3.11 he's hot in a pathetic way	25.8%
3.9 failing at everything makes me want to squeeze him	31.2%
3.10 put him in a jar and shake it	31.2%
3.18 easy to project onto	32.3%
3.8 the urge to fix him	33.3%
3.6 steal his gender	49.5%
3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	49.5%

Share of Disagreeing Answers

Non-binary participants

Q1 10.4% Q2 18.4% Q3 21.7%

| Feeling	Share
3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	0.9%
3.2 pick him apart for better understanding	4.7%
3.7 he deserves to get all the good things	4.7%
3.3 makes me curious	9.4%
3.12 fun to ruin and wreck sexually	10.4%
3.16 relating to him	11.3%
3.13 fandom bicycle	13.2%
3.4 poor little bastard making my heart ache	17.0%
3.18 easy to project onto	17.9%
3.1 comfort character	18.9%
3.5 understanding Izzy's POV	18.9%
3.14 muse for my (NSFW) fanworks	19.0%
3.6 steal his gender	20.0%
3.11 he's hot in a pathetic way	21.7%
3.10 put him in a jar and shake it	25.5%
3.9 failing at everything makes me want to squeeze him	26.4%
3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	31.1%
3.8 the urge to fix him	32.1%

Share of Disagreeing Answers

Figure D15. Share of Disagreeing Answers on specific feelings Izzy evokes, comparison between gender groups. There are 18 feelings in total.

The median was 9.8% among trans men & transmascs (Q1 7.8%, Q3 17.6%), 23.2% among cis women (Q1 11.8%, Q3 31.2%) and 18.4% among non-binary participants (Q1 10.4%, Q3 21.7%).

For trans men & transmascs the feeling with the smallest share of Disagreeing Answers was *3.7 I need Izzy to be praised and patted on the head. He needs a hug and someone to tell him he's a good boy and give him love and all the good things he doesn't think he deserves* with 2.0% share.

For cis women the smallest share of Disagreeing Answers was 2.2%, and there were two feelings with this share: *3.3 Izzy makes me so curious and I want to know more—about his past, about what he's been through, about how he'll react to future situations* and *3.15 I enjoy the way how fanfic writers can make Izzy feel all the emotions and it just works.* The latter feeling also had the smallest share of Disagreeing Answers among non-binary participants (0.9%).

For trans men & transmascs and cis women, the feeling with the biggest share of Disagreeing Answers was *3.17 Izzy makes me feel seen and validated, I feel like he represents me*: 29.4% (trans men & transmascs) and 49.5% (cis women; tied with *3.6 I want to steal Izzy's gender*).

For non-binary participants, the feeling with the biggest share of Disagreeing Answers was *3.8 Seeing all the things that are wrong with Izzy gives me the urge to fix him (*32.1%).

For other feelings, the share of Disagreeing Answers varied between 4.0% and 23.5% (trans men & transmascs), 3.2% and 33.3% (cis women) and 4.7% and 31.1% (non-binary participants).

Trans men & transmascs

Q1 13.7% Q2 26.5% Q3 47.1%

- 3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions — 76.0%
- 3.12 fun to ruin and wreck sexually — 68.6%
- 3.7 he deserves to get all the good things — 62.7%
- 3.3 makes me curious — 60.8%
- 3.2 pick him apart for better understanding — 47.1%
- 3.6 steal his gender — 35.3%
- 3.4 poor little bastard making my heart ache — 33.3%
- 3.16 relating to him — 29.4%
- 3.13 fandom bicycle — 27.5%
- 3.9 failing at everything makes me want to squeeze him — 25.5%
- 3.11 he's hot in a pathetic way — 25.5%
- 3.18 easy to project onto — 17.6%
- 3.5 understanding Izzy's POV — 15.7%
- 3.10 put him in a jar and shake it — 13.7%
- 3.14 muse for my (NSFW) fanworks — 9.8%
- 3.1 comfort character — 8.0%
- 3.8 the urge to fix him — -7.8%
- 3.17 makes me feel seen and validated — -19.6%

Net Share of Strong Agreement

Cis women

Q1 -19.4% Q2 -7.0% Q3 24.7%

- 3.3 makes me curious — 74.2%
- 3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions — 68.8%
- 3.7 he deserves to get all the good things — 52.7%
- 3.2 pick him apart for better understanding — 49.5%
- 3.13 fandom bicycle — 24.7%
- 3.12 fun to ruin and wreck sexually — 19.4%
- 3.4 poor little bastard making my heart ache — 11.8%
- 3.5 understanding Izzy's POV — 5.4%
- 3.11 he's hot in a pathetic way — -5.4%
- 3.16 relating to him — -8.7%
- 3.14 muse for my (NSFW) fanworks — -13.0%
- 3.10 put him in a jar and shake it — -18.3%
- 3.1 comfort character — -19.4%
- 3.8 the urge to fix him — -19.4%
- 3.9 failing at everything makes me want to squeeze him — -20.4%
- 3.18 easy to project onto — -25.8%
- 3.6 steal his gender — -63.4%
- 3.17 makes me feel seen and validated — -63.4%

Net Share of Strong Agreement

Non-binary participants

Q1 4.7% Q2 15.2% Q3 44.3%

- 3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions — 73.6%
- 3.7 he deserves to get all the good things — 54.7%
- 3.12 fun to ruin and wreck sexually — 53.8%
- 3.2 pick him apart for better understanding — 46.2%
- 3.3 makes me curious — 44.3%
- 3.13 fandom bicycle — 31.1%
- 3.16 relating to him — 21.7%
- 3.5 understanding Izzy's POV — 17.9%
- 3.18 easy to project onto — 16.0%
- 3.6 steal his gender — 14.3%
- 3.14 muse for my (NSFW) fanworks — 11.4%
- 3.10 put him in a jar and shake it — 11.3%
- 3.11 he's hot in a pathetic way — 7.5%
- 3.1 comfort character — 4.7%
- 3.4 poor little bastard making my heart ache — 4.7%
- 3.9 failing at everything makes me want to squeeze him — -4.7%
- 3.17 makes me feel seen and validated — -16.0%
- 3.8 the urge to fix him — -24.5%

Net Share of Strong Agreement

Figure D16. Net Share of Strong Agreement on specific feelings Izzy evokes, comparison between gender groups. There are 18 feelings in total.

The median was 26.5% among trans men & transmascs (Q1 13.7%, Q3 47.1%), -7.0% among cis women (Q1 -19.4%, Q3 24.7%) and 15.2% among non-binary participants (Q1 4.7%, Q3 44.3%).

Among trans men & transmascs and non-binary participants, *3.15 I enjoy the way how fanfic writers can make Izzy feel all the emotions and it just works* had the biggest Net Share of Strong Agreement: 76.0% (trans men & transmascs) and 73.6% (non-binary participants).

Among cis women, *3.3 Izzy makes me so curious and I want to know more—about his past, about what he's been through, about how he'll react to future situations* had the biggest Net Share of Strong Agreement (74.2%).

For trans men & transmascs and cis women the feeling with the smallest Net Share of Strong Agreement was *3.17 Izzy makes me feel seen and validated, I feel like he represents me*: -19.6% (trans men & transmascs) and -63.4% (cis women; tied with *3.6 I want to steal Izzy's gender*).

For non-binary participants the feeling with the smallest Net Share of Strong Agreement was *3.8 Seeing all the things that are wrong with Izzy gives me the urge to fix him* (-24.5%).

For other feelings, the Net Share of Strong Agreement varied between -7.8% and 68.6% (trans men & transmascs), -25.8% and 68.8% (cis women) and -16.0% and 54.7% (non-binary participants).

The feelings with a negative Net Share of Strong Agreement had more participants disagreeing with or being neutral about the feeling than strongly agreeing with the feeling. Cis women had 10 feelings with a negative Net Share, non-binary participants had 3 and trans men & transmascs had 2.

Trans men & transmascs

Q1 3.9 | Q2 7.5 | Q3 10.5

Feeling	Ratio of Agreement
3.7 he deserves to get all the good things	46.0
3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	24.0
3.3 makes me curious	15.7
3.12 fun to ruin and wreck sexually	11.5
3.2 pick him apart for better understanding	10.5
3.16 relating to him	9.8
3.4 poor little bastard making my heart ache	8.2
3.6 steal his gender	8.0
3.13 fandom bicycle	7.6
3.11 he's hot in a pathetic way	7.4
3.14 muse for my (NSFW) fanworks	5.8
3.9 failing at everything makes me want to squeeze him	4.9
3.1 comfort character	4.1
3.5 understanding Izzy's POV	3.9
3.18 easy to project onto	3.8
3.10 put him in a jar and shake it	2.9
3.8 the urge to fix him	2.5
3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	1.7

Ratio of Agreement (log scale)

Cis women

Q1 1.6 | Q2 2.6 | Q3 6.0

Feeling	Ratio of Agreement
3.3 makes me curious	43.5
3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	42.5
3.7 he deserves to get all the good things	27.0
3.2 pick him apart for better understanding	15.8
3.4 poor little bastard making my heart ache	6.0
3.12 fun to ruin and wreck sexually	4.9
3.13 fandom bicycle	3.0
3.5 understanding Izzy's POV	2.9
3.1 comfort character	2.7
3.16 relating to him	2.5
3.14 muse for my (NSFW) fanworks	2.1
3.11 he's hot in a pathetic way	2.1
3.10 put him in a jar and shake it	1.7
3.8 the urge to fix him	1.6
3.9 failing at everything makes me want to squeeze him	1.5
3.18 easy to project onto	1.4
3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	0.5
3.6 steal his gender	0.5

Ratio of Agreement (log scale)

Non-binary participants

Q1 2.8 | Q2 3.7 | Q3 8.0

| Feeling	Ratio of Agreement
3.15 fanfic writers making him go through all the emotions	98.0
3.7 he deserves to get all the good things	18.4
3.2 pick him apart for better understanding	17.8
3.3 makes me curious	8.9
3.12 fun to ruin and wreck sexually	8.0
3.16 relating to him	6.6
3.13 fandom bicycle	5.7
3.18 easy to project onto	3.8
3.5 understanding Izzy's POV	3.8
3.4 poor little bastard making my heart ache	3.6
3.14 muse for my (NSFW) fanworks	3.3
3.1 comfort character	3.3
3.6 steal his gender	3.2
3.11 he's hot in a pathetic way	2.8
3.10 put him in a jar and shake it	2.6
3.9 failing at everything makes me want to squeeze him	2.3
3.17 makes me feel seen and validated	1.7
3.8 the urge to fix him	1.6

Ratio of Agreement (log scale)

Figure D17. Ratio of Agreement on specific feelings Izzy evokes on a logarithmic scale, comparison between gender groups. There are 18 feelings in total.

The median ratio was 7.5 among trans men & transmascs (Q1 3.9, Q3 10.5), 2.6 among cis women (Q1 1.6, Q3 6.0) and 3.7 among non-binary participants (Q1 2.8, Q3 8.0).

Among trans men & transmascs, *3.7 I need Izzy to be praised and patted on the head. He needs a hug and someone to tell him he's a good boy and give him love and all the good things he doesn't think he deserves* had the biggest Ratio of Agreement (46.0). *3.17 Izzy makes me feel seen and validated, I feel like he represents me* had the smallest Ratio of Agreement (1.7).

Among cis women, *3.3 Izzy makes me so curious and I want to know more—about his past, about what he's been through, about how he'll react to future situations* had the biggest Ratio of Agreement (43.5). *3.6 I want to steal Izzy's gender* had the smallest Ratio of Agreement (0.5).

Among non-binary participants, *3.15 I enjoy the way how fanfic writers can make Izzy feel all the emotions and it just works* had the biggest Ratio of Agreement (98.0). *3.8 Seeing all the things that are wrong with Izzy gives me the urge to fix him* had the smallest Ratio of Agreement (1.6).

For other feelings, the Ratio of Agreement varied between 2.5 and 24.0 (trans men & transmascs), 0.5 and 42.5 (cis women) and 1.7 and 18.4 (non-binary participants).